

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/021,533	KONOWALCHUK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	San-ming Hui	1617	

All Participants:

(1) San-ming Hui.

(2) Ms. Holiday, attorney of record.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

San-ming Hui
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

9/15/05
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Holiday informed the examiner that no reply was filed to the outstanding office action mailed 2/7/05. It is because no office action was received that may be due to the transfer process. The applicant will consider file petition to revive the case since the no-reply is inadvertent.